1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401		
3			
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00048-JCC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE; [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER	
13	V.	COURT: Hon. U.S. District Judge John C. Coughenour	
14	DYLAN CORRAL,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	ST	ΓIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous stipulation and order, this matter was scheduled for a status conference on		
21	June 3, 2024. By this stipulation, the parties move to continue the status conference to August 26, 2024		
22	2. On January 3, 2024, the Court ordered that Mr. Corral undergo a competency		
23	examination by a local psychologist. The parties contracted a local psychologist, Dr. Charles Saldanha		
24	to evaluate Mr. Corral's competency to stand trial. Dr. Saldanha authored a report on Mr. Corral's		
25	competency and testified at an evidentiary hearing on May 24, 2024.		
26	3. In the coming days, the parties	expect Magistrate Judge Fricke will be issuing findings	
27	and recommendations on Mr. Corral's competency. The parties will then have an opportunity to review		
28	those findings and recommendations and file any objections. After that point, the parties expect that the		

Court will rule on the pending competency motion.

- 4. During this time during which the defendant has undergone competency proceedings, defense counsel has not had the opportunity to prepare pretrial motions or to prepare responses to any of the government's previously filed pretrial motions. The defense needs additional time, after Mr. Corral's competency proceedings are completed, to attend to these matters. Thus, by this stipulation, the defendant moves to continue the currently scheduled status conference and set the case for a status conference on August 26, 2024.
  - 5. The government does not object to the defense's request.
  - 6. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) Discovery has been provided to defendant, consisting of over 1,000 Batesstamped items, including reports of investigation, an audio- and video-recorded interview of the defendant, photographs, and a summary of defendant's criminal history.
  - b) Defense counsel represents that, despite the exercise of diligence, she requires additional time to prepare for trial, to respond to the government's pretrial motions, to prepare potential pretrial motions for the defense, to conduct relevant investigations, to hire appropriate experts, and to issue various subpoenas in advance of trial.
  - c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
  - e) Although speedy trial time has previously been excluded through June 24, 2024, for the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of the filing of this stipulation to August 26, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), because it results from a continuance granted by the Court request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant

## Case 2:22-cr-00048-JCC-TLF Document 187 Filed 05/29/24 Page 3 of 4

		<u> </u>
1	1 in a speedy trial.	
2	7. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
3	Speedy Trial Act provide that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
4	4 must commence.	
5	5 IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	6	
7	7	
8	B Dated: May 28, 2024 PHILLIP A. TA United States A	
9		•
10	10 /s/ JUSTIN J. G JUSTIN J. GIL	ILIO IO
11	Assistant Unite	d States Attorney
12	12	
13	Dated: May 28, 2024  /s/ DANICA M DANICA MAZ	
14	Counsel for De	fendant
15	DYLAN CORF	AAL
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28

## Case 2:22-cr-00048-JCC-TLF Document 187 Filed 05/29/24 Page 4 of 4

.

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the status conference scheduled for June 3, 2024, is continue to August 26, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the ends of justice served by the schedule set forth above outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act for the reasons stated in the parties' stipulation. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of today's date through August 26, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i) & (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the parties' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 28th day of May 2024.

John C Coyhnar

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE